

# Computability and Complexity in Elliptic Curves and Cryptography

## Parity of $s$ -round Generalized AES

Kevin Bombardier  
Wichita State University  
**AAAS Pacific Division Conference**

June 24-27, 2012



# Collaborators

This is collaborative work with Matthew Cole (University of Notre Dame), Thomas Morrell (Washington University), Cory Scott (Colorado College), and our mentor, Dr. Liljana Babinkostova (Boise State University)

# Overview

- Definitions

# Overview

- Definitions
- Motivation

# Overview

- Definitions
- Motivation
- Results

# Overview

- Definitions
- Motivation
- Results
- Conclusions

# Overview

- Definitions
- Motivation
- Results
- Conclusions
- Future Work and Recommendations

# Definitions

- For any  $k \in \mathcal{K}$ , we denote the generalized  $s$ -round AES permutation  $T_s[k] : GF(p^r)^{mn} \rightarrow GF(p^r)^{mn}$  by  $T_s[k] = \sigma \circ \pi \circ \lambda \circ (\sigma \circ \rho \circ \pi \circ \lambda)^{s-1} \circ \sigma$ .



# Definitions

- For any  $k \in \mathcal{K}$ , we denote the generalized  $s$ -round AES permutation  $T_s[k] : GF(p^r)^{mn} \rightarrow GF(p^r)^{mn}$  by  $T_s[k] = \sigma \circ \pi \circ \lambda \circ (\sigma \circ \rho \circ \pi \circ \lambda)^{s-1} \circ \sigma$ .
- For the set of generalized AES permutations we write  $\tau_s = \{T_s[k] \mid k \in \mathcal{K}\}$ .

# Definitions

- For any  $k \in \mathcal{K}$ , we denote the generalized  $s$ -round AES permutation  $T_s[k] : GF(p^r)^{mn} \rightarrow GF(p^r)^{mn}$  by  $T_s[k] = \sigma \circ \pi \circ \lambda \circ (\sigma \circ \rho \circ \pi \circ \lambda)^{s-1} \circ \sigma$ .
- For the set of generalized AES permutations we write  $\tau_s = \{T_s[k] \mid k \in \mathcal{K}\}$ .
- For the group generated by  $\tau_s$  we write  $G_{\tau_s} = \langle \tau_s \rangle$ .

# Definitions

- For any  $k \in \mathcal{K}$ , we denote the generalized  $s$ -round AES permutation  $T_s[k] : GF(p^r)^{mn} \rightarrow GF(p^r)^{mn}$  by
$$T_s[k] = \sigma \circ \pi \circ \lambda \circ (\sigma \circ \rho \circ \pi \circ \lambda)^{s-1} \circ \sigma.$$
- For the set of generalized AES permutations we write
$$\tau_s = \{T_s[k] \mid k \in \mathcal{K}\}.$$
- For the group generated by  $\tau_s$  we write  $G_{\tau_s} = \langle \tau_s \rangle$ .
- In particular, we denote "classical" AES as
$$T_{10}[k] : GF(2^8)^{4 \times 4} \rightarrow GF(2^8)^{4 \times 4}$$
 by
$$T_{10}[k] = \sigma \circ \pi \circ \lambda \circ (\sigma \circ \rho \circ \pi \circ \lambda)^9 \circ \sigma.$$

# Motivation

- <sup>1</sup>There have already been attacks on classical AES.

---

<sup>1</sup>Bogdanov, Khovratovich, and Rechberger, *Biclique Cryptanalysis of the Full AES*, 2011.

<sup>2</sup>R. Wernsdorf, *The Round Functions of RIJNDAEL Generate the Alternating Group.*, Rohde & Schwarz SIT GmbH., 2002.

# Motivation

- <sup>1</sup>There have already been attacks on classical AES.
- Is there a way to improve the security of AES?

---

<sup>1</sup>Bogdanov, Khovratovich, and Rechberger, *Biclique Cryptanalysis of the Full AES*, 2011.

<sup>2</sup>R. Wernsdorf, *The Round Functions of RIJNDAEL Generate the Alternating Group.*, Rohde & Schwarz SIT GmbH., 2002.

# Motivation

- <sup>1</sup>There have already been attacks on classical AES.
- Is there a way to improve the security of AES?
- Would encrypting multiple times improve the security?

---

<sup>1</sup>Bogdanov, Khovratovich, and Rechberger, *Biclique Cryptanalysis of the Full AES*, 2011.

<sup>2</sup>R. Wernsdorf, *The Round Functions of RIJNDAEL Generate the Alternating Group.*, Rohde & Schwarz SIT GmbH., 2002.

# Motivation

- <sup>1</sup>There have already been attacks on classical AES.
- Is there a way to improve the security of AES?
- Would encrypting multiple times improve the security?
- <sup>2</sup>It is known that  $\tau$  in classical AES generates  $A_{2^{128}}$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>Bogdanov, Khovratovich, and Rechberger, *Biclique Cryptanalysis of the Full AES*, 2011.

<sup>2</sup>R. Wernsdorf, *The Round Functions of RIJNDAEL Generate the Alternating Group*, Rohde & Schwarz SIT GmbH., 2002.

# Motivation

- <sup>1</sup>There have already been attacks on classical AES.
- Is there a way to improve the security of AES?
- Would encrypting multiple times improve the security?
- <sup>2</sup>It is known that  $\tau$  in classical AES generates  $A_{2^{128}}$ .
- It is known that  $\tau$  in classical AES is not a group.

---

<sup>1</sup>Bogdanov, Khovratovich, and Rechberger, *Biclique Cryptanalysis of the Full AES*, 2011.

<sup>2</sup>R. Wernsdorf, *The Round Functions of RIJNDAEL Generate the Alternating Group*, Rohde & Schwarz SIT GmbH., 2002.



# Motivation

- <sup>1</sup>There have already been attacks on classical AES.
- Is there a way to improve the security of AES?
- Would encrypting multiple times improve the security?
- <sup>2</sup>It is known that  $\tau$  in classical AES generates  $A_{2^{128}}$ .
- It is known that  $\tau$  in classical AES is not a group.
- However, it is unknown what group is generated by  $\tau_S$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>Bogdanov, Khovratovich, and Rechberger, *Biclique Cryptanalysis of the Full AES*, 2011.

<sup>2</sup>R. Wernsdorf, *The Round Functions of RIJNDAEL Generate the Alternating Group*, Rohde & Schwarz SIT GmbH., 2002.

# Motivation

- Open Problem: Is AES more secure if a message is encrypted multiple times?

# Motivation

- Open Problem: Is AES more secure if a message is encrypted multiple times?
- Open Problem: What group is  $G_{\mathcal{T}_s}$ ? When is it the Alternating Group or the Symmetric Group?

# Motivation

- Open Problem: Is AES more secure if a message is encrypted multiple times?
- Open Problem: What group is  $G_{\tau_s}$ ? When is it the Alternating Group or the Symmetric Group?
- $T_s[k] = \sigma \circ \pi \circ \lambda \circ (\sigma \circ \rho \circ \pi \circ \lambda)^{s-1} \circ \sigma$

# Motivation

- Open Problem: Is AES more secure if a message is encrypted multiple times?
- Open Problem: What group is  $G_{\tau_s}$ ? When is it the Alternating Group or the Symmetric Group?
- $T_s[k] = \sigma \circ \pi \circ \lambda \circ (\sigma \circ \rho \circ \pi \circ \lambda)^{s-1} \circ \sigma$
- When  $G_{\tau_s}$  is the symmetric group, this is the largest possible group that  $G_{\tau_s}$  can be.

# Motivation

Encrypting multiple times does not improve the security of AES if  $\tau_s$  is a group. That is, for any keys  $k_1$  and  $k_2$ , there exists a key  $k_3$  such that  $T_s[k_1] \circ T_s[k_2] = T_s[k_3]$ .

# Motivation

Encrypting multiple times does not improve the security of AES if  $\tau_s$  is a group. That is, for any keys  $k_1$  and  $k_2$ , there exists a key  $k_3$  such that  $T_s[k_1] \circ T_s[k_2] = T_s[k_3]$ .

## Theorem

*Permutation groups contain either all even permutations, or half even and half odd permutations.*

# Motivation

Encrypting multiple times does not improve the security of AES if  $\tau_s$  is a group. That is, for any keys  $k_1$  and  $k_2$ , there exists a key  $k_3$  such that  $T_s[k_1] \circ T_s[k_2] = T_s[k_3]$ .

## Theorem

*Permutation groups contain either all even permutations, or half even and half odd permutations.*

We can use this classical theorem to determine whether or not  $\tau_s$  is a group.



# Multiple Rounds

- For  $T_s[k]$  to be odd, at least one round function must be both odd and applied an odd number of times.

# Multiple Rounds

- For  $T_s[k]$  to be odd, at least one round function must be both odd and applied an odd number of times.
- So for  $\lambda$  and  $\pi$ , the number of rounds  $s$  must be odd.

# Multiple Rounds

- For  $T_s[k]$  to be odd, at least one round function must be both odd and applied an odd number of times.
- So for  $\lambda$  and  $\pi$ , the number of rounds  $s$  must be odd.
- For  $\rho$ , the number of rounds  $s$  must be even.

# When is AES odd for $p = 2$ ?

## Theorem

*When  $p = 2$ ,  $T_s[k]$  has odd parity if and only if:*

- *$m$ ,  $n$ , and  $s$  are odd; or*
- *$\sum c_i$ ,  $s$ , and  $r$  are odd, and  $n$  is even.*

# When is AES odd for $p = 2$ ?

Among all possible parities of the variables, we have the following:

# When is AES odd for $p = 2$ ?

Among all possible parities of the variables, we have the following:

When  $p = 2$ ,

- $\sigma$  and  $\rho$  are never odd
- $\lambda$  is odd in  $\frac{1}{8}$  of the cases
- $\pi$  is odd in  $\frac{1}{16}$  of the cases

# When is AES odd for $p = 2$ ?

Among all possible parities of the variables, we have the following:

When  $p = 2$ ,

- $\sigma$  and  $\rho$  are never odd
- $\lambda$  is odd in  $\frac{1}{8}$  of the cases
- $\pi$  is odd in  $\frac{1}{16}$  of the cases
- (These cases do not overlap.)

# When is AES odd for $p = 2$ ?

Among all possible parities of the variables, we have the following:

When  $p = 2$ ,

- $\sigma$  and  $\rho$  are never odd
- $\lambda$  is odd in  $\frac{1}{8}$  of the cases
- $\pi$  is odd in  $\frac{1}{16}$  of the cases
- (These cases do not overlap.)

When  $p = 2$ ,  $\tau_s$  is a set of odd permutations in  $\frac{3}{16}$  of all possible cases.



# When is AES odd for $p = 2$ ?

Among all possible parities of the variables, we have the following:

When  $p = 2$ ,

- $\sigma$  and  $\rho$  are never odd
- $\lambda$  is odd in  $\frac{1}{8}$  of the cases
- $\pi$  is odd in  $\frac{1}{16}$  of the cases
- (These cases do not overlap.)

When  $p = 2$ ,  $\tau_s$  is a set of odd permutations in  $\frac{3}{16}$  of all possible cases.

It follows that  $\tau_s$  is not a group in these cases.

# When is AES odd for $p$ odd?

## Theorem

When  $p$  is odd,  $T_s[k]$  has odd parity if and only if:

- $m$ ,  $n$ , and  $s$  are odd,  $((p^r - 1)/|\langle a \rangle|)$  even, and  $(p \equiv_4 1$  or  $r$  even); or
- $m$ ,  $n$ , and  $s$  are odd,  $((p^r - 1)/|\langle a \rangle|)$  odd,  $p \equiv_4 3$ , and  $r$  odd); or
- $\sum c_i$ ,  $r$ , and  $s$  are odd, and  $n$  is even; or
- $(p^{rm} - 1)/|\langle c \rangle|$  and  $n$  are odd, and  $s$  is even.

# When is AES odd for $p$ odd?

Among all possible parities of the variables, we have the following:

# When is AES odd for $p$ odd?

Among all possible parities of the variables, we have the following:

When  $p$  odd,

- $\sigma$  is never odd
- $\lambda$  is odd in  $\frac{1}{16}$  of the cases
- $\pi$  is odd in  $\frac{1}{16}$  of the cases
- $\rho$  is odd in  $\frac{1}{8}$  of the cases

# When is AES odd for $p$ odd?

Among all possible parities of the variables, we have the following:

When  $p$  odd,

- $\sigma$  is never odd
- $\lambda$  is odd in  $\frac{1}{16}$  of the cases
- $\pi$  is odd in  $\frac{1}{16}$  of the cases
- $\rho$  is odd in  $\frac{1}{8}$  of the cases
- (These cases do not overlap.)

# When is AES odd for $p$ odd?

Among all possible parities of the variables, we have the following:

When  $p$  odd,

- $\sigma$  is never odd
- $\lambda$  is odd in  $\frac{1}{16}$  of the cases
- $\pi$  is odd in  $\frac{1}{16}$  of the cases
- $\rho$  is odd in  $\frac{1}{8}$  of the cases
- (These cases do not overlap.)

When  $p$  odd,  $\tau_s$  is a set of odd permutations in  $\frac{1}{4}$  of all possible cases.

# When is AES odd for $p$ odd?

Among all possible parities of the variables, we have the following:

When  $p$  odd,

- $\sigma$  is never odd
- $\lambda$  is odd in  $\frac{1}{16}$  of the cases
- $\pi$  is odd in  $\frac{1}{16}$  of the cases
- $\rho$  is odd in  $\frac{1}{8}$  of the cases
- (These cases do not overlap.)

When  $p$  odd,  $\tau_s$  is a set of odd permutations in  $\frac{1}{4}$  of all possible cases.

It follows that  $\tau_s$  is not a group in these cases.

# Conclusions

- In the cases when  $\tau_S$  contains only odd permutations, it cannot be a group.



# Conclusions

- In the cases when  $\tau_S$  contains only odd permutations, it cannot be a group.
- Furthermore, it follows that when  $\tau_S$  contains only odd permutations, it does not generate  $A_p^{rnn}$ .

# Future Work and Recommendations

- Open Problem: So if  $\tau_S$  does not generate  $A_{p^{rnm}}$ , does it generate  $S_{p^{rnm}}$ ?

# Future Work and Recommendations

- Open Problem: So if  $\tau_S$  does not generate  $A_{p^{r_m n}}$ , does it generate  $S_{p^{r_m n}}$ ?
- Open Problem: When  $\tau_S$  contains only even permutations, is it a group?

# Future Work and Recommendations

- Open Problem: So if  $\tau_S$  does not generate  $A_{p^{r_{mn}}}$ , does it generate  $S_{p^{r_{mn}}}$ ?
- Open Problem: When  $\tau_S$  contains only even permutations, is it a group?
- What if one wishes to keep the number of rounds  $s$  even in classical AES, but have  $\tau_S$  contain only odd permutation?

# Future Work and Recommendations

- Open Problem: So if  $\tau_S$  does not generate  $A_{p^{rnm}}$ , does it generate  $S_{p^{rnm}}$ ?
- Open Problem: When  $\tau_S$  contains only even permutations, is it a group?
- What if one wishes to keep the number of rounds  $s$  even in classical AES, but have  $\tau_S$  contain only odd permutation?
- Suggestion: Add a "ShiftBits" permutation in the final round of AES to make every  $T_s[k]$  odd.

# Future Work and Recommendations

- Open Problem: So if  $\tau_S$  does not generate  $A_{p^{rnm}}$ , does it generate  $S_{p^{rnm}}$ ?
- Open Problem: When  $\tau_S$  contains only even permutations, is it a group?
- What if one wishes to keep the number of rounds  $s$  even in classical AES, but have  $\tau_S$  contain only odd permutation?
- Suggestion: Add a "ShiftBits" permutation in the final round of AES to make every  $T_s[k]$  odd.

# Future Work and Recommendations

## Definition

$\theta$  is a *ShiftBits* function if there exists a  $c \in \mathbb{Z}_{8mn}$  such that  $\theta(x) = y$  if and only if  $y_i = x_{i-c \bmod 8mn}$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{8mn}$ .

# Future Work and Recommendations

## Definition

$\theta$  is a *ShiftBits* function if there exists a  $c \in \mathbb{Z}_{8mn}$  such that  $\theta(x) = y$  if and only if  $y_i = x_{i-c \bmod 8mn}$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{8mn}$ .

So our  $s$ -round classical AES permutation becomes

$$T_s[k] = \theta \circ \sigma \circ \pi \circ \lambda \circ (\sigma \circ \rho \circ \pi \circ \lambda)^{s-1} \circ \sigma.$$



# Acknowledgements

Thanks to Boise State University and to the National Science Foundation for funding the research under the grant DMS 1062857.

