





- (c) Determine the number of additions/subtractions as well as the number of multiplications/divisions required for LU decomposing an  $n$ -by- $n$  tridiagonal matrix with Crout's method.
5. Assuming no pivoting is needed (to avoid breakdown or to ensure numerical stability), devise an efficient way to arrange the computations for solving an  $n$ -by- $n$  linear system with non-zero entries in the coefficient matrix only in the first and last rows and columns and also in the two main diagonals. In the case of a  $9 \times 9$  matrix, the non-zero entries would appear as follows:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ \bullet & \bullet & & & & & & \bullet & \bullet \\ \bullet & & \bullet & & & & \bullet & & \bullet \\ \bullet & & & \bullet & & \bullet & & & \bullet \\ \bullet & & & & \bullet & & & & \bullet \\ \bullet & & & \bullet & & \bullet & & & \bullet \\ \bullet & & \bullet & & & & \bullet & & \bullet \\ \bullet & \bullet & & & & & & \bullet & \bullet \\ \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ x \\ x \\ x \\ x \\ x \\ x \\ x \\ x \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b \\ b \\ b \\ b \\ b \\ b \\ b \\ b \\ b \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $\bullet$ 's represent a non-zero entries and blanks represent zero entries. It is sufficient to illustrate the efficient method for this  $9 \times 9$  case. However, for the general  $n$ -by- $n$  case, determine the number of operations (additions/subtractions and multiplications/divisions) your algorithm requires (these should both be  $O(n)$ ).

6. Consider the linear system of equation  $Ax = b$ , where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 & 1/3 & 1/4 & 1/5 & 1/6 \\ 1/3 & 1/4 & 1/5 & 1/6 & 1/7 \\ 1/4 & 1/5 & 1/6 & 1/7 & 1/8 \\ 1/5 & 1/6 & 1/7 & 1/8 & 1/9 \\ 1/6 & 1/7 & 1/8 & 1/9 & 1/10 \end{bmatrix}, \quad b = \begin{bmatrix} 0.882 \\ 0.744 \\ 0.618 \\ 0.521 \\ 0.447 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Suppose we in some way have obtained the approximate solution vector

$$\bar{x} = \begin{bmatrix} -2.1333 \\ 0.6258 \\ 17.4552 \\ -11.8692 \\ -1.4994 \end{bmatrix}.$$

It is then easy to show that the residual becomes *exactly*

$$A\bar{x} - b = \begin{bmatrix} 0.00001 \\ -0.00001 \\ 0.00001 \\ -0.00001 \\ 0.00001 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- (a) Does this imply that  $\bar{x}$  is close to the exact solution  $x$ ?
- (b) Use MATLAB to obtain an accurate solution to the given system.
- (c) Use MATLAB again to obtain a condition number for  $A$ . Use the appropriate result on perturbations of the right hand side (RHS) of a linear system to confirm that this very small residual indeed is big enough to allow for the solution to be as far away from the correct one as occurs in this example.

Hint: The  $A$  matrix can be constructed easily in MATLAB using the function `hankel` (use MATLAB `help` to see why). The following code constructs  $A$ :

```
A = 1./hankel(2:6,6:10).
```

The vector  $b$  can be entered into MATLAB manually:

```
b = [0.882 0.744 0.618 0.521 0.447]'
```

The linear system  $Ax = b$  can be solved in MATLAB using the `mldivide` command or simply the backslash operator “\”:

```
x = A\b
```

The condition number (with respect to the two-norm) can be computed using the MATLAB function `cond`:

```
cond(A)
```

7. [565 only] Recall that Cholesky’s method can be used to factor a symmetric positive definite (s.p.d.) matrix  $A$  such that  $A = LL^T$ , where  $L$  is a lower triangular matrix. The disadvantage of this method is that it requires  $n$  square roots. It was mentioned in class that these square roots could be avoided by factoring  $A$  into the form  $A = \tilde{L}D\tilde{L}^T$ , where  $D$  is a diagonal matrix and  $\tilde{L}$  is a lower triangular matrix with 1s on the diagonal. Following the same steps we used to derive Cholesky’s method in class, derive a procedure for computing the entries in  $\tilde{L}$  and  $D$  for this new  $A = \tilde{L}D\tilde{L}^T$  decomposition.

8. [565 only] Let  $A$ ,  $B$ , and  $\delta A$  be  $n$ -by- $n$  matrices, prove the following results:

(a) If  $\rho(A) < 1$ , then the matrix  $I - A$  is nonsingular.

Hint: Use a contradiction argument.

(b) If  $A$  is nonsingular and

$$\|A - B\| < \frac{1}{\|A^{-1}\|},$$

then  $B$  is nonsingular.

Hint: Use the fact that  $B = A(I - A^{-1}(A - B))$  and the result from part (a).

(c) If  $A$  is nonsingular and  $\|\delta A\| < 1/\|A^{-1}\|$ , then  $A + \delta A$  is nonsingular.