

In class on Tuesday, 3/13/07, we worked on writing Establishment-approved and fashionable proofs of assertions of form $\mathbf{S} \subset \mathbf{T}$.

Suppose that sets \mathbf{S} and \mathbf{T} have some specification:

$$\mathbf{S} = \{\mathbf{x} : \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{x}) \text{ is true.}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{T} = \{\mathbf{x} : \mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{x}) \text{ is true.}\}.$$

A proof that $\mathbf{S} \subset \mathbf{T}$ would go like this:

Let $z \in \mathbf{S}$.

Then $\mathbf{P}(z)$ must be true.

There then ensues reasoning showing that, consequently, $\mathbf{Q}(z)$ must be true. The proof would continue:

Hence $\mathbf{Q}(z)$ is true.

Hence $z \in \mathbf{T}$.

Since z is an arbitrary element of \mathbf{S} , we have proved that any element of \mathbf{S} is also an element of \mathbf{T} .

Thus $\mathbf{S} \subset \mathbf{T}$.

In class group work, we proved

Theorem A: If U and V are subspaces of the same abstract vector space, then

$$U + V \subset \mathbf{Sp}(U \cup V).$$

- 1 Write a fashionable, establishment endorseable, proof of

Theorem B: If U and V are subspaces of the same abstract vector space, then

$$\mathbf{Sp}(U \cup V) \subset U + V.$$